

Under the circumstances, the court finds the motion should be granted, in part. The report contains information from other documents previously restricted from access by the public. The court finds good cause exists at this time for restricting access to such information. However, the parties will not be granted leave to include such information in every filing for the purpose of effectively sealing this case from public view. Accordingly, the report, provisionally filed under seal, will be kept under access restricted to counsel of record and the court. Additionally, the parties shall file a redacted version of the report. If either party seeks to restrict the access of future filings, the moving party shall clearly state in the motion whether it seeks to have the document filed under seal. **See** [NECivR](#)

7.5. If the moving party seeks to have a document filed under seal, the movant shall provide legal justification, in the motion, for precluding the public and the other party's counsel from viewing the document. Similarly, if the moving party seeks to have a document filed under restricted access, the movant shall provide legal justification, in the motion, for precluding the public from viewing the document. **See** [NECivR 5.0.3](#). Upon consideration,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Joint Motion to Seal Parties' Rule 26(f) Planning Report ([Filing No. 45](#)) is granted in part and denied in part. The Clerk of Court shall amend the entry for [Filing No. 46](#) and change the designation from SEALED to RESTRICTED pursuant to [NECivR 5.0.3](#) and court procedures.

2. The parties shall file a redacted version of the parties' May 10, 2010, Rule 26(f) planning report **on or before May 17, 2010**.

DATED this 11th day of May, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge